Good morning to you.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:22)

We are now moving on to lecture11, in the second module, doing the review of Basic Structural Analysis.
We are going to start displacements methods in this session. It is something new to all of you and something very different and very interesting compared to force methods. So, this is covered in part five of the book on Structural Analysis.

We had looked at the slide earlier and this compares broadly the difference between force and displacement methods. In force methods, we looked at static indeterminacy and the unknowns are forces, which we referred as redundants.
In displacement methods, we referred to kinematic indeterminacy. Here, the displacements are the primary unknowns, (Remove and) the degrees of freedom. We solve for these unknowns using compatibility equations in force methods and using equilibrium equations in displacement methods. We cast the force displacement relations in a flexibility format in the force methods and we do that using a stiffness format in the displacement methods.

There are many force methods, but we had looked in close detail about the Method of Consistent Deformations and the Theorem of Least Work. The Column Analogy method is an excellent manual method, but we are not covering it in this scope and the Flexibility Matrix Method is what we will look in detail, later.

In the stiffness methods, the earliest method is the slope deflection method, followed by iterative solution procedures, the Moment Distribution Method due to Hardy Cross and Kani’s method due to Gasper Kani, which is similar to moment distribution methods. So, we will not be covering Kani’s method in this course, but the most generalized method is the stiffness matrix method, which we will look in real detail in the next modules.

(Refer Slide Time: 02:43)

Again just to recall, kinematic indeterminacy refers to the degrees of freedom. You need to look only at the joints. In this truss for example, you have seven joints and it is a
planar truss and each joint has 2 degrees of freedom, which we can mark using coordinates as shown: 1, 2, 3, 4 and all the way to 11...14.

It is important to note that these fourteen displacements need not have any interrelationship between them and they are really independent, but once you fix the displacement vector, then you also fix the elongations in the bars. The relationships between bar elongation and the displacements are referred to as compatibility relations. For the truss to be stable, you need to arrest some of these displacements. In this case for example, (Refer Slide Time: 03:47) if it simply supported, we should arrest at least three of them.

So, let us say we arrest $D_{12}$, $D_{13}$ and $D_{14}$ and usually while labeling them, we put the arrested coordinates to the end of all labels. We begin with the free displacements and we end with arrested displacements. The arrested displacements are those, where we know for sure that the deflections are zero. So, there is nothing unknown about them and the degree of kinematic indeterminacy refers to the unknown displacements. In this case, it will be eleven and it is defined as a total number of degrees of freedom or independent displacement coordinates at the various joints in a skeletal structure. So, in other words we are able to locate this structure in its deformed configuration with reference to its original configuration. Once you know that the joints are located in a certain manner, we can really interpolate and get the location of any point on that structure in any bar with reference to those joints locations.
We also discussed that unlike truss elements, beam elements also have rotations in addition to translation and so you have four degrees of freedom for a beam element. The Primary Structure or the Kinematically Determinate Structure would be a one, in which all those four displacements are arrested, which is what you get in fixed beam with both ends fixed.

In a cantilever, one end is fixed and on the other end, you have 2 degrees of freedom, translation and rotation. In a continuous beam, in this example of two span continuous beam with an overhang, you have 4 degrees of freedom and \( n_k \) stands for the degree of kinematic indeterminacy; three rotations and one translation.

A plane frame element is a kind of a combination of a truss element and a beam element. So, you have 6 degrees of freedom—2 independent translation and 1 rotation at each end. For example, if you have that portal frame; single bay and single storey with the base fixed, the kinematic indeterminacy would be six. It would reduce to three, if you assume axial deformations to be negligible because the columns will not increase in length and the beam will also not increase in length, which means that the left end of the beam and the right end of the beam will move by the same amount. That degree of freedom is called the sway degree of freedom. So, you have 2 rotational degrees, 1 translational degree and similarly, for the box frame shown here. (Refer Slide Time: 06:40) you have 2 sway degrees of freedom and 4 rotational degrees of freedom.
We have seen this picture earlier, here you have a four storey; three bay frame, the degree of static indeterminacy is 36 and kinematic is 48, but if you make the assumption, which we normally do, is that axial deformations are negligible, then the translational degrees of freedom reduces to four.- 1 sway degree in each floor and that means, entire beam in any floor will move like a rigid body, horizontally.

So, \( D_{17} \), \( D_{18} \), \( D_{19} \), \( D_{20} \) are the sway degrees of freedom and every joint has a rotation. So, you have 16 rotational degrees of freedom, 4 translational degrees of freedom, 20 unknown displacements.
Now, let us begin by asking this question, (Refer Slide Time: 07:33) when would you choose to go in for the displacement method compared to the force method? Logically what would be the answer?

You can reduce the number of... You can take advantage of symmetry both in the force method and the displacement method. You reduce the unknowns in both.

If you were to do (Remove are) doing manually, you will realize that you have to solve some simultaneous equations. How many equations? Depending on the degree of indeterminacy, you know that the work involved in solving simultaneous equations increases enormously and non-linearly with the function of numbers of equations to solve. Obviously, it is not convenient to solve manually for more than three. Is it not? Otherwise, you have to use some elimination methods or use a software to invert a matrix etc. For example, your calculator can happily solve up to three equations and that is why manually we should not put in more effort than that and if it is more than that then go in for a computer, which is what we are going to learn soon. So, (Refer Slide Time: 08:58) how do you decide, which method to go in for? Displacement method or force method?
Less number of... Less work, if the degree of indeterminacy is less, you will choose that method. Take this example, I have got a fairly complicated frame, but there is some symmetry both in the frame and in the loading.

(Refer Slide Time: 09:24) (. ) Which method would you go? How long would it take you to solve this by the force method that you know?

Indeterminacy is more. How much is it? Here it is only one. First, let us take advantage of symmetry. So, let us cut the beam at the center at C. The appropriate boundary condition is a guided roller support because (Remove in) rotation there is zero but it can deflect. So, let us look at this reduced frame (Refer Slide Time: 09:56). Let us neglect axial deformations. Tell me, what is the degree of static indeterminacy? If it is just a cantilever, it is determinate. So, you have got some extra reactions coming there. How many of them? (Refer Slide Time: 10:13) (. )

one two three four five six seven. Seven? Well, at that hinge support, you have two and in that guided roller support, you have two. You have a horizontal reaction also possible and at that top you can have one vertical reaction. So, that makes it five.

What about the unknown rotations? At the fixed end A, you do not have any unknowns. At D, you potentially have a rotation and translation. At C, you have a translation and rotation is zero. So, 2 plus 1 is 3. At B, you have a rotation, four and at O, you have a rotation, O is also a joint. So, you have five. Is it clear? (Refer Slide Time: 11:19)

Sorry. Only a rotation. At O and B, only rotation. At D, rotation and translation. At C, translation. So, you have five and so it looks like they are competing, but actually that five reduces to one. So, you will find that this is a problem that you can solve in one minute, if you are very alert and you understand the beauty of looking at the displaced configuration. We will see this problem shortly.

So, in this we will first, (Remove 'discuss') before getting into solving problems in the hard way, let us first get a broad introduction about displacements methods. So, we should understand the meaning of stiffness. So, take this example (Refer Slide Time: 12:06) of a simply supported beam subjected to an end moment $M_0$. You can find a relationship between the rotations, you have a clockwise rotation at O and an anti clockwise rotation at A... You can get a relationship between $M_0$ and theta. You just have
to use a conjugate beam method. Can you tell me that relationship? Mo is equal to... or
theta is equal to Mo by... (Refer Slide Time: 12:49) ((noise))

(Refer Slide Time: 13:00)

So, I want you to learn some things and never forget them. This is one of those things
that you should have really, totally understood. Let us say we have forgotten. How do
you start from scratch? Always go back to conjugate beam method. So, in the conjugate
beam method, what are the boundary conditions for a simply supported case? It is simply
supported and of course, whether you put a roller or not it does not really matter because
we are ignoring axial movements. So, what is the loading diagram on this conjugate
beam? Conjugate beam, what is the loading diagram? It is a curvature diagram.
In this case, it is a hogging curvature. So, the curvature diagram is M by EI. What will it
look like? It is a triangle and that is M_o divided by EI, theta is nothing but the reaction
you get on this conjugate beam.

What is the total area? This is L (Refer Slide Time: 14:00). Half M_o into L by EI. Two-
thirds of that goes here. So, this is theta, if this was A and O, this is theta at O. What is
this equal to? M_o into L by 3 EI and it is enough to know that the reaction you get here
will be half of that. (Remove 'which is theta'). Is it clear? Now, I do not want a situation,
where you need to have difficulty in answering this question. Is this crystal clear to you?
So, this is a measure of stiffness, of rotational stiffness. When I take a simply supported
beam and apply moment at one end, rotation will be given by $M_0 L$ by $3 \text{ EI}$ and at the other end it will be $M_0 L$ by $6 \text{ EI}$.

(Refer Slide Time: 15:03)

Here, the far end in this is hinged. This is a bending moment diagram and this is what we derived. Let us say that the other end A is fixed against rotation. I am not going into the proof and you should know this easily. It is going to be more difficult to rotate, if the other end is fixed; you can prove that from $3 \text{ EI}$ by $L$ and the stiffness goes to $4 \text{ EI}$ by $L$.

You can prove this and you get a moment at the fixed end, which is half the moment that you applied. This can be proved, it is an indeterminate problem and that proof you can work out, we have done this several times. Is it clear? Can you see here that this part (Refer Slide Time: 15:48) is sagging and this part is hogging. Where do you think this point of contra flexure is located? If the ratio of this moment to this moment is $2:1$, this point of contra flexure will be exactly as $L$ by $3$. Is it clear? So, you should also know this picture and keep it in mind. If you want, you can prove it a couple of times to get it in your blood.

So, these two pictures are the starting point in the displacement analysis of beams. They are not difficult to understand. Is it clear? I can reverse the direction of the moment from clockwise and make it anti clockwise. Everything gets flipped over and that is all, but the stiffness do not change because we are assuming linear elastic behavior. Is this clear?
This should be firm in your mind and you can also draw the shear force diagram, if you wish and that is easy, but the stiffness measure… Remember, axial stiffness in a bar in a truss element, (Refer Slide Time: 16:58) what is axial stiffness? EA by L because we are relating axial force N with what? With elongation e.

N, the axial force is EA by L times elongation, when you talk about a beam you do not talk about a force and a translation, but you talk about a moment and a rotation. Is it clear? There are many ways of describing it and this is one way, you apply a moment at one end, if your beam is stiffer, it will be more difficult to rotate it. So, the flexural rigidity of the beam comes in the numerator, that is EI and the length of the beam comes in the denominator and there is a constant, which depends on the boundary conditions. If the far end is simply supported, it is hinged and then it is 3EI by L. If the far end is fixed, it is 4EI by L.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:03)

Let us takes this one step further and let us say, the far end is a guided roller support. If the far end is a guided roller support, you will find that deflected shape, what does it remind you all? It looks like a cantilever and for a cantilever the bending moment diagram will be constant, when you subject to an end moment. So, it is M0 all the way and you can prove that the rotation is EI by L. Of the three beams shown in this slide, the last one is the least stiff and it is easiest to rotate that.
So, I want you to remember these three magic numbers: EI by L, 3 EI by L, 4 EI by L. They refer to the same beam, the only difference is the boundary condition that is different at the far end. See, it is a same $M_0$. In this beam, (Refer Slide Time: 19:08) you are not allowing any translation, but you are allowing free rotation.

Here, you are not allowing translation or rotation. Here, (Refer Slide Time: 19:25) you are allowing translation, but you are arresting rotation. Is it clear? So, they are different degrees of stiffness, but these three numbers, you remember? This is pure bending in the last case. So, you have a uniform bending moment diagram and so these three are worth remembering because you can take lot of shortcuts, if you can invoke this understanding. Traditionally, you arrest all degrees of freedom in the displacement method and get your primary structure, but if you can modify those stiffnesses for these three cases, your degree of indeterminacy drastically reduces and we have to take advantage of that.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:03)

In the previous slide, we deliberately applied a moment and we found the rotation. Now, we will look at the same problem in a different manner. Here, you have a fixed beam and both ends are fixed. This is supposed to be kinematically determinate because there is no rotation or translation or any moment at either of the ends in the beam.

So, this is a ground zero. Everything is known here. Now, here we will apply a rotation at the left end and the rotation at the right end and we assume that this is happening on its
own. We called it a rotational slip; the ground moved, the fixity rotated on its own. So, what do you think? Will you get bending moments? Of course, you will get because the straight beam has become bend and what will be the bending moments you get at A in the first case? What do you think? Will you get a moment here? (Refer Slide Time: 21:20) What is $MA$, $MAB$, if you wish? No. 4 $EI$ by L. Does it not remind you of the previous figure? So, you should realize that you get the same shapes like as we have drawn earlier. You get 4 $EI$ by L at one side and 2 $EI$ by L by on the other side and you get a shear force which is 6 $EI$ by L square. Is it clear?

This is another way of looking at the same problem. The main difference between this picture and the previous picture is here (Refer Slide Time: 21:59). Somehow, the beam is... the fixity (Remove 'and it') is rotating on its own. You are getting the same bending moment distribution. So, here the end forces developed - the moments, reactions... and vertical forces developed are reactions, whereas, in the previous case they are actions. Do you understand the differences? From a displacement method point of view, you do not care whether they are actions or reactions. You are looking only at the deflected shape because kinematics is your primary focus of interest. Does it make sense? So, you should be comfortable with both approaches to stiffnesses.

You can begin with a kinematically determinate structure like this, you apply a unit rotation and the moment that comes, where you apply the unit rotation is a measure of stiffness. In this case, it is clearly 4 $EI$ by L, but you get what is called a carryover moment at the other end, which is half the moment here (Remove 'and') which is 2 $EI$ by L and both are clockwise. So, there is a sign convention traditionally followed that clockwise moment and clockwise rotations are positive. Now, we will learn later, how to change that sign convention, but for the introduction and for using slope deflection method and moment distribution method, we will stay with that convention and you understood this.
Now, let us try to convert all those beams into an equivalent spring. So, we have a rotational spring, like a door spring and you know the moment that you apply here is linearly related to the rotation. So, if you have the first case, where you are arrest... you are fixing (Remove 'in') the left end and if you reduce this to a spring, the spring stiffness will be 4 EI by L. If you take the next case, where the deflected shape looks like that (Refer Slide Time: 24:05), you get 3 EI by L, if you take the next shape, where you have a cantilever type behaviour and you get EI by L.

Now, you can also get the same EI by L by fixing the left end and applying the moment at the other end. So, you have to look at the deflected shape. From the shape you have to figure out, whether you have a cantilever behaviour or not? Is it clear? This can be proved. So, there are three numbers to remember EI by L, 3 EI by L, 4 EI by L and that is all you have to remember. With that knowledge, you can crack many problems (Remove 'and') reducing the degree of indeterminacy tremendously and doing things that were unthinkable in the force method.
Let us demonstrate, I have got here, two frames, four members each and rigidly connected at the center at the joint O. In the first case, all the elements are simply supported at the extremities. In the second case, they are all fixed.

Now the question is can you draw the bending moment diagram and the deflected shape? Please try it. In force methods, it is not so easy. In displacement method, it is pretty easy. Can you draw? What will they look like? Just draw, $M_0$ is going to be shared by those four elements in what proportion? Equally, as all four look identical. So, will you do that? Just try, it is not difficult. (Refer Slide Time: 26:04) (noise) Yeah, so will the roller make a difference? So, you have to think. As far as rotational stiffness is concerned $3 \, EI \, b / L$ does not depend on whether it is roller or hinged, as long as it gives you a reaction, it works. So, it is not difficult, you must do this in one minute and that is all it takes. The deflected shape and the bending moment diagram, (Remove 'are') drawn on the tension side. So, until we reach matrix methods, I want you to get the intuitive understanding of Structure Analysis, strong because once you can do the matrices, you are just doing numerical techniques, you will not get a physical feel. So, the physical feel is something you need nurture to really enjoy and master Structure Analysis.

You agree that the deflected shapes will look like this (Refer Slide Time: 27:00) In the left case, it freely rotates here and that rotation will be theta naught by 2 and you agree all the four will have to rotate by the same clockwise angle of theta naught, why?
Because the joint is rigid. That is the correct answer and here also, it will rotate by something, which I have referred to as \( \theta_0 \) with the tilde. Which rotation will be more, the one on the right side or the left side? Left side because this is stiffer and it is stiffer because here, at all the other beam ends, you are not permitting any rotation. You have a point of contra flexure in the middle.

Now, can you draw the bending moment diagram? It is easy, so you can look at it as a spring and the spring stiffness is \( K_0 \). What is the value of \( K_0 \) in the first case? What is the value \( K_0 \) in the second case? What is \( K_0 \) in the first case? It is 3 EI by L and that is for one beam. You have four of them. (Refer Slide Time: 28:31) Divide by four or multiply by 4? Why multiply by 4?((.))

\( M_0 \) by four it is there... What is \( K_0 \)? Supposing I replace that whole frame with the spring as I showed here. Tell me, give me an expression for \( K_0 \). Ah. So, you are multiplying. In other words, do you agree that the stiffnesses of all the connecting members will add up? They will add up. Why will they add up? Because equilibrium demands that they add up. So, let us say there are four elements. Do you agree that equilibrium demands that \( M_0 \) will be the sum of all those four beam element ends? For each of them, you can say \( K_{10} \) into \( \theta_0 \), \( K_{20} \) into \( \theta_0 \) etc.

We said in Structural Analysis, you should be sensitive to three things- one is equilibrium or forces and moments, second is compatibility and this is what made you say that \( \theta_0 \) is common for all the four members and the third thing is the force displacement relationship, which is where \( K_0 \) comes into play. Is it clear? So, we are invoking all three. Now, this is the reason, why \( M_{10} \) (Remove 'naught') is \( K_{10} \) into \( \theta_0 \), \( M_2 \) is \( K_{20} \) into \( \theta_0 \) etc. we said \( M_0 \) is \( K_0 \) into \( \theta_0 \) and this is why we say \( K \) naught is the sum of the individual element stiffness. This is the proof that the stiffnesses add up and if you find the stiffness of all four are equal, find the stiffness of one beam element and multiply by 4 and not divide by 4. It increases and it becomes stiffer. It is easier to rotate one element than to rotate all four simultaneously, we have to apply that much extra moment. So, \( \theta_0 \) can be calculated in that manner and in the first case, it will be four times 3 EI by L in the second case, it will be four times 4 EI by L.

So, now you are comfortably with that. What about the bending moment diagrams drawn on the tension side? Did you draw it that way? How many of you in this class drew the
bending moment diagrams correctly? What happened to the rest of you? Is this difficult, tell me? Is it difficult to draw? $M_0$ gets shared. $M_0$ by 4, it is a linear varying diagram. Where is tension? It is clearly in the direction shown. The moment at the other end is zero. In the other case, there is a carryover moment of $M_0$ by 8. Does it make sense now? So, I want to you slowly get into the groove and understand, how powerful (Remove 'is') this method is.

(Refer Slide Time: 31:50)

Now, let us take this problem, where you have a similar situation, but I put a different boundary condition at all the four ends. This is the problem that we referred to right in the beginning. I asked you which is easier, Force method or displacement method?

Now, we said that there are five unknown displacements. I will not go back to that. Let us say all four elements are of the same length $L$ and flexural rigidity, $EI$. I am saying, you can solve this problem in one minute and that is wonderful, if you can do that, can you do it? Can you give it a shot? The first thing you should try to do is draw the deflected shape and that will give you a clue. Draw the deflected shape and you really try it because you have to move your brain. Draw the deflected shape. Very few people would have really appreciated the power of the displacement method in this kind of intuitive way. There is a rotation $\theta_0$ common to all the four members. How will it rotate? You do one thing, first you draw cross at O and draw the tangents and then you have to bring them back to the extremities in the appropriate manner and that is all.
Have you done it? So, I will reveal it to you and this is what it should look like (Refer Slide Time: 33:48). An amazing thing you discover, what do you discover? I drew this cross and set everything to rotate by theta naught. So, this first beam must come back here. This must come back there and you remember that if this is theta_{0} and this will be half theta_{0} (Refer Slide Time: 34:12). This will rotate theta naught this way and you can prove that this deflection is related to theta_{0}. So, it is theta_{0} L by 2. There is no reaction here and so this is going to rotate like a rigid body and if theta_{0} is small, this is theta_{0} into L. So, what do you conclude? There are really no five independent rotations and translations. There is just one unknown, theta naught and so it is not difficult to. So, can you find the bending moments now?

(Refer Slide Time: 34:49)

These are problems with the single unknown rotation and many difficult problems can be reduced to this level. So, how do you think that moment 160 kilonewton meter will be shared between elements: 1, 2, 3 and 4? Which will take the largest? This is like giving a project to a team of four students. All will get the same total marks, which is the same as rotation here, but one will end up doing more work than the others and there will be one guy, who will just hang around and reap the benefit like this element four, (Refer Slide Time: 35:30) which is not going to resist at all. The stiffer elements will attract more load, but they all get the same result because it is one team. That is what is happening...
here. So, it is depicting the law of nature. (Refer Slide Time: 35:51) Which is the stiffest among those four? (\(\_\_\_\_\_\_\)_)

One, two, three, four. What is stiffness of 1? 4 EI by L, very good. stiffness of 2? 3 EI by L, stiffness of 3? EI by L and stiffness of 4? Zero. Now, tell me what is the moment going to 1? four three two one... (Remove 'thoroughly EI by L'). You have got the ratios now. (Remove 'four') M0 by two. Eighty. What goes to element two? Sixty. What goes to element three? Twenty. Do you get any moments at the extreme ends? At A, do you get something? You get half of eighty in the same direction.

Now, can you please draw the bending moment diagram on our own? You got the answers intuitively. So, draw it. You can expect simple questions like this in your coming quiz, all 1 minute answers, but you can take ten minutes if you wish, but if you solve for five unknowns, it will take you one day. You draw it consistently on the tension side. So, all that is something you must have learnt by now. It is not difficult and I want all of you to master this. Everyone in this class should understand this and should be able to do it correctly. Again, only when you look at the deflection shape, you will know, which the tension side is. Otherwise, you will be doing it wrongly, as I can see some of you are doing it.

Which is the tension side? Draw the deflected shape. (Refer Slide Time: 37:48) So, this is the first element 4 EI by L, this is the second element 3 EI by L, this is the third element EI by L and the fourth is not worth drawing, it is a straight line. These are the stiffnesses. This is a 160 kilonewton and total stiffness adds up to 20 EI by L and the ratio is 4:3:1 and by the way this is moment distribution, you are distributing that 160 to the 4 elements in that ratio, 4:3:1:0. You correctly said 80:60:20.
Did you draw this? How many of you did it correct? Raise your hands. Some of you did, but you should be able to do it. After you reach the goal post, you have to put the ball in and this is the finish. Have you all understood? It is drawn on the tension side and I noticed you did not draw it on the right side. So, it is clear. Remember that it is the carryover moment and remember that in OC, it is a cantilever behaviour, moment is constant. Does it make sense? So, we are synthesizing all that we learnt in this class from the first few slides in this problem and you got your answer, you know everything now. You know the complete displacement field, complete force field and you can also find the shear forces, if you wish, we are skipping that.
Now, let us look at more interesting problems. You have solved the earlier problem. Can you solve this problem? Take advantage of symmetry. Can we cut it in the middle? Will it look like the problem we did earlier? Which will look like the problem we did earlier, the left one or the right one? Left half of or the right half? Right half because when you cut it at A, first you draw the deflected shape here. Do you agree the deflected shape will look like that? Why will the slope be 0 at A?

(Refer Slide Time: 40:08) Why is theta zero always at the line of symmetry? Now, we have to argue convincingly. It is rigid at... Right. So, the argument is if it was not to be zero, then it has to be either clockwise or anti clockwise and that would violate symmetry. That is the argument. Symmetry means, on both sides you should have theta equal and opposite. If one is clock wise, the other should be anti clockwise and then only you will get a mirror image. So, both have to be equal. It is mandatory that the slope will be zero. Is it clear?

So, you can now take the right half and this is the deflected shape (Refer Slide Time: 40:56).you remember, we did this for the earlier problem. Now, the only change is... Some change is here. We deliberately flipped over B and D to make you think. Please note it is not identical (Refer Slide Time: 42:18) and this is what we solved earlier. Remember, here this is a straight line because the roller support is there. It is a roller positioned in the wrong way.
So, what will this look like, can you tell me? If you have to use the results that we did already and draw for that, won’t it look like this? You must flip it over properly and then you can add the other half, mirror image. So, that is all it takes. One more minute for drawing the mirror image. If you are using a computer, it is very easy to cut, paste and rotate.

(Refer Slide Time: 42:05)

Look at another one. What about this one? At C now, theta is 0, but it can translate. Now, which half will look like the one we did earlier, left or right? Now it is the left. So, same logic and now, you do the left half and you add the right half. You can take this to any level of complexity, but you see (Remove 'will') we have built it up on very simple fundamentals.
So, you get many types of problems. The easiest is this type, single unknown rotation with the nodal (joint) moment, which you distribute depending on relative stiffness. You will find many problems can be reduced to this, but in real life where do you get concentrated moment acting? It is not very common. What is more common is - Intermediate loads, you have a udl or concentrated load or a triangular load.

So, that is the next type of problem we will look at, Arbitrary intermediate non nodal loading, but still only one unknown rotation. So, we will proceed stage wise and so you can master everything. What do you think is a next level of difficulty? More than one unknown rotation. Let us say, in a continuous beam you have four rotations and you are right. What is the next level of difficulty? When in addition to rotations, there are unknown translations like the sway in a building and that is more complicated. So, that is the fourth type. If you know how to do all these four types, you are the ustad. You know displacement and I want all of you to master it because it is not difficult. You have to think and you have to use your right brain to intuitively understand and simplify the problem. You have to use your left-brain to solve the problem logically. Is it clear?

It is a powerful way to build up your inner resources. So, this is one of the great advantage of Structural Analysis. One of the few courses, you get to learn to really master this subject. One of the students, who dropped out of this course met me and said "Sir, I am finding it difficult because it is not coming naturally to me."
There is an old Chinese saying, if you want to eat roast duck and decide to eat roast duck by standing on a mountaintop with your mouth open waiting for it to drop, you will have to wait for a very long time. You see, if you really want to develop your brains cells, nothing should be so easy that you can naturally digest. You should get to the point of it becoming easy, after you have done the inner work.

Once, you have done the inner work and you develop your potential to that level. Then in one look at the answer and the roast duck will fall into your mouth, but not otherwise and not in untrained mind. So, I want you to take this seriously. This particular course, especially displacement methods, when properly understood has an aesthetic charm also to it. You have to really relish this problem.

Did you like what we did till now? So, it is challenging and you get some nice aesthetic music also. This increased difficulty in solving... and please note that in the early problem also we had that guided fixed support swaying, but we bypassed it. We also have rotations at the simply supported ends and we bypassed that. So, in many cases involving sway, it is possible to ignore the translational degrees of freedom and you reduce the degree of kinematic indeterminacy by using modified element stiffnesses. So, from 4 EI by L, we went to 3 EI by L and EI by L and modified fixed end moments.

So, if you take this technique, really you can solve difficult problem in a very short time. Let me tell you, manually it is really not worth doing any of these methods. In case, if you are doing more than two, then you use a software to do it, but it is foolish to use a software, when you can do it in one minute manually and I regret to say, the vast majority of engineers coming out of a engineering colleges worldwide and they will still need an elephant to drive in a small nail. So, use the right technique at the right place.
So, we will just conclude by taking a look at the meaning of stiffness matrix. Here, you see a three span continuous beam. How many degrees of freedom are there? 2 degrees of freedom, \( \theta_B \) and \( \theta_C \) and there is no translation. Let us label those potential moments that can be applied there as in \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \). So, \( D_1 \) is \( \theta_B \) and \( D_2 \) is \( \theta_C \) and all clockwise assume positive. Is it clear? So, \( D_1 \) is \( \theta_B \), \( D_2 \) is \( \theta_C \) and now, the stiffness matrix is defined as \( F \) is equal to \( K \) into \( D \). So, \( F_1 \) is \( k_{11} \) into \( D_1 \) plus \( k_{12} \) into \( D_2 \) and \( F_2 \) is \( k_{21} \) into \( D_1 \) plus \( k_{22} \) into \( D_2 \).

I want you to tell me, can you generate this matrix from whatever little you have learnt till now? Can you write an expression for \( k_{11} \), \( k_{21} \), \( k_{12} \) \( k_{22} \) by physically understanding, what is going on? You can do it. So, the great advantage of knowing that stiffness matrix which is (Remove ‘and’) the property of that structure is if someone give you the displacements, which is very unlikely. you can find, what force has caused it, but the more common problem is you have some unknown loads and you have some known loads \( F_1 \) and \( F_2 \) and you have to find \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) and from that knowledge of \( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) you must draw the bending moment diagram. If you invert this matrix, how do you get \( k_{11} \) and \( k_{21} \), the first column in that stiffness matrix? Put \( D_1 \) equal to 1 and \( D_2 \) equal to 0 because that is the definition of stiffness matrix. Will you draw a sketch of the deflected shape, where \( D_1 \) is 1 and \( D_2 \) is 0? Just draw it.
Will it not look like this? (Refer Slide Time: 49:06) $D_1$ is 1 and $D_2$ is 0. Can you draw the bending moment diagram for this? Even if you do not draw it, can you write in expression for $k_{11}$ straight away? $k_{11}$ will be the external moment, you should have applied there to get the shape. So, it will be the sum of the moments, you get at B A and B C. (Refer Slide Time: 49:34) What you get in B A? 4 EI by L of that element 1. What do you get, plus what? ((noise)) No, you are arresting. See, when you say $D_2$ is 0, someone is holding it at C and preventing that rotation. So, it will also be 4 EI.

If you take the other case, it will look like this. (Refer Slide Time: 50:00) you are now allowing $D_2$, but arresting $D_1$. So, if you draw the free bodies, they will look this without those forces and 4 EI by L and 4 EI by L for the second element with the carry over and so do you agree $k_{11}$ is 4 E into $I_1$ by L of that element 1 plus 4 E into $I_2$ by L, very easy to do.

What is $k_{21}$? $k_{21}$ is what you get at the support, which you artificially fixed, $F_2$. What will it be? 2 E into $I_2$ by L. Similarly, you can do for the other case, you can see the beautiful symmetry in $K_{21}$ and $K_{12}$, and that is how easy it is. So, it is not difficult, once you are comfortable with the kinematic determinate structure. We will stop here and we will continue tomorrow. Thank you.
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